

## **Historic, Archive Document**

Do not assume content reflects current  
scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.





HC 106  
L  
A1453

PES Copy

# FEDERAL ASSISTANCE REVIEW

## PROJECT NO. 3

ADMINISTRATIVE AND LEGAL  
CONSTRAINTS REMOVED



U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE  
NATIONAL AGRIC. LIBRARY  
RECEIVED  
FEB 2 1976  
PROCUREMENT SECTION  
CURRENT MATERIAL RECORDS

Revised March 1, 1971





## I. INTRODUCTION

Under the Federal Assistance Review Program, a Department-wide project was initiated to "Remove Unnecessary Departmental and Agency Administrative Constraints." This second-year report includes further accomplishments on last year's reported constraints and new constraints submitted since that time.

A total of 139 external constraints were identified to the FAR Staff. Of these, 70 were imposed by Department regulations, 33 by USDA agency regulations, 23 by other Federal agencies, and 13 by law. These constraints were referred to appropriate staff offices of the Department for review and appropriate action.

As a result of staff offices' actions, 47 constraints were removed, 43 were continued for good reason, and 13 are still being considered. In several instances, misunderstandings or erroneous interpretations of regulations were cleared up.

The constraints have been grouped by subject matter. The action taken on each constraint is summarized in Parts I through XII of the following report. If the action taken is considered to be inadequate, let the FAR Staff know.

In addition to the external constraints, agencies were also asked to review their internal regulations or procedures and report accomplishments to the FAR Staff. Summaries of agency accomplishments are reported in Part XIII of the following report.

USDA Federal Assistance Review Staff





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>USDA Agency to Which Constraint Referred for Action</u>	<u>Page</u>
I .....	Office of Personnel .....	1
II .....	Office of Information .....	12
III .....	Office of Plant and Operations .....	15
IV .....	Office of the General Counsel .....	20
V .....	Office of Budget and Finance .....	21
VI .....	National Agricultural Library .....	<b>28</b>
VII .....	Office of the Inspector General .....	<b>29</b>
VIII .....	Office of Management Improvement .....	<b>30</b>
IX .....	The Secretary .....	31
X .....	Office of Management Services .....	34
XI .....	Economic Research Service .....	35
XII .....	Reported Constraints Returned to Agency .....	36
XIII .....	USDA Agencies Action on Internal Constraints .....	37





I. OFFICE OF PERSONNEL

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Personnel
1.	Agency must submit written justification in support of proposed classification of Administrative Officer (GS-341) positions at GS-11, 12, and 13 for analysis and prior concurrence.	FS, FHA	Revised guidelines will be developed and issued which will reduce the number of these cases. It is expected that agency comments will be obtained and final guidelines ready by 4-30-71.
2.	Prior approval of GS-14 and 15, and Staff Office clearance of Key positions.	FHA, ERS, C&MS, ARS, FS, SCS	We are developing a new system for handling Key positions with the objective of eliminating the prior approval of individual actions in most cases. The Office of the Secretary has a significant interest in positions. This proposed new system will be presented to the Secretary's Office for consideration. A Senior-Level Position Review System was proposed to the Secretary for consideration.
3.	Police checks required as part of preemployment suitability determinations.	ERS	This constraint will not be changed. The high incidence of the employment of individuals with serious criminal records and the fact that the Interagency Board of Examiners stopped making police inquiries prior to certifying individuals for possible employment cause this requirement to be imposed. These have not changed to any substantial degree to permit consideration of the elimination of this requirement. In addition, experience indicates that employees who falsify regarding arrests are seldom removed as a result thereof and there is a correlation between falsification of applications for employment and subsequent misconduct.
3a.	OP prior approval before hiring persons with certain employments, criminal records, and conduct background.	FS	Personnel Letter No. 731-1 was issued January 29, 1970, to eliminate prior approval for temporary appointees.



No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Personnel
4.	Required time interval of 2 years between cash awards and 3 years between quality increases.	C&MS	Effective November 20, 1969, regulations in AG 531 were revised to <u>permit annual quality increases</u> . Regulations in AG 451 are not intended to restrict granting cash awards in two consecutive years but to assure consideration for a quality increase.
5.	Loyalty checks on non-Federal training organizations. Security clearance should be good for more than 6 months.	SCS	This loyalty check is required by law and the CSC and not OP. Security clearance under DPM regulations is good for 1 year.
6.	Prior approval of acceptance of contributions in connection with outside training.	SCS	A recent revision of DPM Chapter 410 delegated this authority to the agencies.
7a & b.	Prior approval of cash awards for GS-14 and up.	SCS, FS	This requirement will be retained.
8.	Performance rating procedural requirements. Effectiveness has largely been supplanted by the Salary Reform Act of 1962, Incentives Awards Act, and Adverse Action regulations. Remaining requirements inhibit supervisor-employee review and discussion of performance because of requirement of conformance to a strict procedural approach. The main purpose of process tends to become one of conforming to procedural requirements rather than a free discussion and review of performance.	SCS	For several years the Department has submitted to the CSC in response to requests for legislative proposals to improve personnel administration our recommendations for the abolishment of the Performance Rating Act of 1950. The CSC does not intend to seek abolishment of the Act at this time. However, it has established a special study group which will recommend some changes in the regulations which will eliminate some of the burdensome procedural requirements.



No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Personnel
8a.	Authority to defer performance ratings is limited to Agency Head.	FS	DMP Chapter 430 was amended December 23, 1969, to permit redelegation of this authority to Heads of each office having delegated employment authority.
9.	Restriction (\$100-\$350) on amount of Cash Award that can be granted for special achievement.	SCS	This restriction is set by CSC and not OP. The Department opposed this restriction. Since it was imposed on July 1, 1969, CSC felt it was inappropriate to make a change so soon, but plans to have this scale reviewed periodically by an LAG Committee.
10.	Limitation on amount of Cash Award an agency can approve (\$1,500).	FS	This amount has been raised to \$2,500.
11.	Quarterly reports on progress under Federal Women's program. Should be changed to semi-annual to be consistent with other EEO reports.	SCS	This frequency of reports is set by CSC and not OP. This has been called to the attention of CSC, and it is our understanding they are considering the elimination of the separate Report on the Federal Women's Program. Until such action is taken, quarterly reports will be required.
12.	Prior approval of unit recognition and agreements under EO 10988.	ARS, FS	DPM Chapter 711 is being revised pursuant to the new E.O. on Labor-Management. These revised regulations will delegate authority for unit recognition with an appeal through the Office of Personnel to the Department of Labor, but agreements will continue to be subject to OP prior approval.
13.	Prohibition re establishing "ai" supervisory positions.	FS	DPM 312 was amended, December 3, 1967, to eliminate this prohibition.
14.	Grade stacking prohibition.	FS	This applies only to GS-15. Stacking is possible below GS-15 if supported by CSC standards and procedures.

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Personnel
15.	Prohibition of redelegation of class., employment and adverse action authority by other than Agency Head.	FS	FS has been authorized by memo of January 23, 1970, to permit redelegation of employment, class., and adverse action authority by Regional Foresters.
16.	Reporting first aid cases on Form AD-135	FS	This requirement will be eliminated as soon as Form AD-278 and the ADP system of safety data are implemented.
17.	Prior approval to use paid advertising in recruiting.	FS	DPM 332 was amended, September 11, 1970, to provide for delegation of this authority.
18.	Requirement to send OPF, SF-171, and AD-67 on prior approval cases.	FS	The OPF is needed for security clearance purposes. The SF-171 and AD-67 are needed for review of employment or classification proposals. Therefore, all three will continue to be required.
19.	Review of Medical Officer on agency initiated disability retirements and other separations involving fitness.	FS	These actions are very sensitive and have many ramifications that if not handled properly can cause a great deal of difficulty. Contact with the Department Medical Officer can be made by phone, so we can see no basis for a contention of delay. This requirement will not be changed.
20.	OP action on results of full field investigation of CCC employees.	FS	The constraint will not be changed.
21.	OP must make or arrange all contacts with CSC on retirement coverage and policy.	FS	Questions of retirement coverage and policy on individual cases could have Department-wide impact and only the Office of Personnel can determine that. This constraint will not be changed.
22.	Employee who works 25 years or more must receive a letter of appreciation upon retirement.	FS	This constraint will not be changed. Additional means of recognizing the employee's service may also be used.



No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Personnel
23.	Post audit of adverse action materials.	FS	This provides OP with a means for obtaining information regarding the volume and nature of disciplinary problems. It serves as a training device for agencies. It provides an opportunity to spot actions clearly contrary to Department or CSC regulations. This constraint will not be changed.
23a.	Department is appellate level of appeals involving 6 to 30 day suspensions.	FS	Effective 4-1-71, the FPM and DPM 771 are being revised so that suspensions of 30 days or less will be treated as grievances.
23b.	Prior approval of adverse actions at GS-14 and up.	FS	Cases involving such employees are normally of concern to the Office of the Secretary. Congressional interest frequently occurs in such cases, and, generally speaking, this is best dealt with at the Departmental level. This constraint will not be changed.
24	Prior approval of employment of GS-14 and 15 at higher than first step.	ARS	DPM Chapter 277 was amended, December 23, 1969, to abolish this requirement.
25.	Prior approval of BEC for medical treatment in other than injury or accident cases.	FS	BEC has rejected the Department's request for relief from this requirement. Copy of letter attached. This constraint will remain.
26.	Prior approval of less than \$10 per diem for full-time training.	FS	This requirement is necessary to maintain a uniform rate per location throughout the Department. This constraint will not be changed.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of Office of Personnel</u>
<u>Constraints Reported During 1970</u>			
27.	Because of appointment authority retained by OLS, the Agency regional (field) offices cannot make direct hire appointments. Removal of the constraint would reduce the time it takes to bring new hires into the Service; reduce paperwork; and avoid the loss of talented recruits because of unnecessary delays in hiring.	OIG	This constraint is presently under review.
28.	Authority to exercise employment authority contains authority to process adverse actions. The agency prefers not to have authority to take adverse action to accompany authority to appoint in all cases.	FS	The Office of Personnel shares this concern. Chapter 751-1-1(2) of the OPM provides that exceptions to the regulations shall be made only with permission of the Director of Personnel. OP will consider any requests from agencies for exceptions under this regulation, provided they indicate in detail exactly what their need and intent is.
29.	Only the Department Security Officer can advise other agencies of an employee's security clearance when the employee is to visit an installation where security clearance is required.	FS	This authority cannot be delegated to the employee's Personnel Officer. A number of problems involved in such delegation make it administratively not feasible.



No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Personnel
30.	Authority to issue driver identification cards is delegated to employment officers. The agency recommends that further delegation be allowed.	FS	This constraint is presently under consideration.
31.	The agency considers it impractical to prepare the Annual Plan for Paid Advertising in Recruiting, which forecasts the most effective type of paid advertising.	FS	This constraint is presently under consideration.
32.	Authority to sign appointment affidavits can only be given by the agency head. Agencies should be given authority to delegate and authorize redelegation.	FS	This authority has been delegated to the agency head with authority to redelegate. This was accomplished in an amendment of October 12, 1970, of OPM Chapter 295-6-8a(1), second paragraph, to read as follows: "Authority to designate employees who are authorized to administer oaths is delegated to the head of the Agency and this authority may be redelegated by the head of the Agency."
33.	For performance rating appeals filed in USDA, the Agency Head is authorized to make the decision only if it concurs with the hearing panel's recommendation. If the Agency Head disagrees, the file must be forwarded to OP for decision. There should be only one decision level, either the Agency Head or OP.		It is anticipated that changes to simplify this procedure will be included in amendments to OPM Chapter 771.

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Personnel
34.	An employee who desires to file an appeal with USDA on a performance rating must file it with his employment office. The appeal must then be forwarded through channels to OP. The Agency requests that the appeal be filed with the office having decision-making authority.	FS	It is anticipated that changes to accomplish this will be included in amendments to DPM Chapter 771.
35.	Requirement for OP clearance of Incentive Awards material.	OIG	DPM regulations do not require clearance of Incentive Awards material prepared in the agency. The purpose in sending 40 copies of the agency material to OP is to circulate them among the agencies of the Department for an exchange of ideas. This material might include newsletters, flyers, posters, contests, or publicity of any kind promoting employee suggestions or any awards program. According to our records, OIG has never sent any of the above awards material to OP and, therefore, we cannot see where this procedure could have caused a time delay in processing.
36.	CSC approval for waiver of qualifications for reassignments and promotions under MUST and similar Upward Mobility Programs.	OIG	We find this is a worthwhile recommendation. We are submitting a request to the CSC that this authority be delegated to the agencies on the same basis as is provided for waiver of qualifications in RIF cases. We will advise you of CSC's reply as soon as received.
37.	Limitation on Classification and Employment Authority.	FS	This constraint is presently under consideration.



No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Personnel
38.	Processing of Executive Assignment cases under a dual search system - CSC and USDA.	FS	We do not believe it is in the best interests of our employees to discontinue the internal search for candidates. This is based on the fact that the Executive Inventory search process had not been consistent in identifying USDA employees who should be given consideration. If an agency feels that for certain positions the EI search consistently produced a list of USDA candidates identical to the USDA internal search, it should submit a request to this Office with supporting data to justify relief in the specified circumstances.
39.	USDA Executive Promotion Plan limits promotion certificates to 3 to 5 candidates.	FS	<p>The wording of the Plan will be modified to provide as follows in those cases where more than 5 highly qualified candidates are identified:</p> <p>"In this event, the number of candidates should be reduced to at least 5, but not less than 3. This reduction is accomplished through further evaluation of the candidates by the evaluation committee. When more than 5 candidates but less than 10 are to be certified to the selecting officials, the promotion record must show the basis for the conclusion that meaningful distinctions cannot be made among a smaller number."</p>
40.	Requirement to submit monthly statistical report on accessions, conversions, and separations of veterans employed under the Veterans Readjustment Authority.	FS	A representative of this Office will discuss the preparation of this report by MDSC with the MDSC staff. It is expected that the MDSC will prepare the report as soon as it can be programmed.

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Personnel
41.	Government employee must be issued a U.S. Government Motor Vehicle Operator's License to drive a Government vehicle.	SCS	The U.S. Government Motor Operator's Identification Card is not a license to operate a motor vehicle. A valid State operator's license is required to operate any motor vehicle, private or Government-owned. The Government ID card must be carried when operating a Government-owned vehicle. The purpose of the ID card is to provide a review of the employee's driving record and physical fitness to operate a motor vehicle. The ID card procedure in some instances has uncovered poor driving records even though the person had a valid State license.
42.	Reemployment of retired employees under most authorities requires employee to reduce salary.	SCS	This is a constraint imposed by law and not by OP. We feel there is little justification to pursue this with the CSC or through legislative channels since we have encountered no particular difficulty in reemploying annuitants under the current provisions. Many agencies of the Department, as evidenced in our Quarterly Report of Experts and Consultants, obtain the services of annuitants to serve in the area of their expertise, and many serve without any compensation whatsoever.
43.	Each agency shall establish and maintain a system which provides statistical employment information by race and national origin. Data shall be collected by visual identification and shall be disclosed only in the form of gross statistics.	SCS	The Civil Service Commission was contacted upon receipt of this constraint to determine whether there was any weakening in their position concerning individual identification of minority group employees. The CSC's position remains the same and they will not entertain any reconsideration of this position at the present time. This Office made a previous attempt to obtain name information with respect to our review of employees' progress under the Critical Review Index program and we were turned down, even though the CSC realized our efforts were directed toward upper mobility of these employees.

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Personnel
44.	Restricting promotion of quality student trainees who meet the qualification requirements for the GS-7 grade upon graduation.	SCS	The extent of this problem and the consideration of alternative methods to satisfy the Whitten requirement are being explored with the SCS, including the gathering of significant data.
45.	Specific dollar limitation on special achievement awards based on the grade level of the recipient of the award.	SCS	The Department is still on record as opposed to the narrow range of cash awards for job performance. We have recommended that the CSC consider reissuing regulations using the current amounts as a guide but extending the limit to the amount of the employee's step increase. At a recent CSC meeting, it was reported that 4 other Federal agencies had also complained and that the CSC will review this regulation in the near future.
46.	Cooperative Work Study program provides for initial appointments under Schedule B of quality student trainees only in shortage occupations.	SCS	The CSC recently approved two modifications of the rating schedules of the FSEE and the Biological and Agricultural Sciences examinations to give special credit to students who graduate under Cooperative Work Study programs. These changes result in a qualifying score of 100 being assigned. We believe this will equate to approximately the same flexibility as the Schedule B concept. Should the modified provisions prove unworkable in SCS, data should be submitted to support that position with the suggestions for needed revisions.





## II. OFFICE OF INFORMATION

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of Office of Information</u>
1.	All visual work must be handled through Office of Information. Agencies cannot even deal with private companies. Agency claims duplication of effort.	FS	Centralization assures conformance with policy. Decentralization would create duplication.
2.	Agency must use OI personnel to obtain visual services. Agency claims OI personnel limited. Special talents frequently needed, not available.	SCS	Centralization assures conformance with policy. Decentralization would create duplication.
3.	Prohibition against credits on photographs or illustrations. Credits needed to stimulate interest and effort.	SCS	Regulation formerly a requirement of Congressional Joint Committee on Printing. Still kept by OI primarily because need standards for credits on all creative work. Employees receive incentive through government salaries higher than those outside government.
4.	OI approval required for employment of visual specialists.	SCS	Approval requirement assures best possible individuals employed when necessary.
5.	Agency objects to need for OI (and sometimes Joint Committee on Printing) approval before duplicating and related equipment can be obtained. Agencies specifically objecting to request for varityper turned down by OI.	SRS	Requirement based on JCP Regulations. Current regulation "provides a most workable and economic procedure." Department has been commended by JCP. Rejection of varityper based on inadequate justification.

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Information
6.	Commercial printing must be procured through GPO. Results in complicating the procurement process by a "middle-man." Suggest built-in term contract similar to Federal Supply schedules for direct-agency use. Specifications on printing are <u>not</u> more technical than other procurement specifications.	C&MS	"JCP feels these procedures are necessary in the interest of order and economy." OI found several cases where agencies dealt directly with contractors and paid three times the price. Specification for printing more technical than other procurement specifications.
7.	Field Offices duplicating limited to 5,000 single pages or 25,000 total pages on multiple page jobs.	SRS	Based on JCP Regulation although agencies can request a waiver.
8.	Same as above. Agency points out that where duplicating facilities are already established, this requirement results in increased overhead and administrative expense. Suggests agencies be authorized to exercise judgment.	C&MS	If JCP limitation was eliminated, equipment would be used improperly and large backlogs would result from overloading. Regulation is not <u>really meant</u> to be inflexible when there is a clear need to exceed the limit in meeting deadlines and other emergencies. Suggest P&O make study to see if JCP Regulations are too restrictive.
9.	JCP limitation on printing in Department's Printing Plant (120,000 impressions) slows down completion, creates paperwork and raises costs. Frequently interim supplies must be run in Plant thus duplicating start-up costs when balance is handled through GPO.	C&MS	This constraint is presently under consideration.



No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Information
10.	OI requires that all printed matter be delivered to OI platform and OI will re-deliver to only one point, resulting in extra handling and delays. Similar problems not encountered in other purchasing.	C&MS	Constraint statement not entirely correct - deliveries handled differently sometimes. Lack of facilities and manpower does not permit fragmented deliveries. However, during 1970 a new procedure has been worked out with C&MS: OI provides C&MS with a duplicate copy of the delivery receipt and makes an electric delivery truck available for use by C&MS, if desired.
11.	Agency required to submit lists of meetings to Visitors Center. Agency objects to monthly report and particularly including in-service meetings.	FS	Agency no longer required to report. New procedure since November 10, 1969, provides that Visitors Center calls agency in order to compile listing.
12.	Mid-year report of Publication Control Program.	C&MS	Constraint removed. A mid-year report of the Publication Control Program is no longer required.

Constraint Reported During 1970

13.	Requirement that printing orders and requisitions for printing handbooks be processed through Department Office of Information.	ASCS	The agency has failed to show that there would be either savings in time or elimination of work steps. The same procedures, the same forms, would have to be completed and forwarded as at present, regardless of who handles these tasks.
-----	---	------	--





### III. OFFICE OF PLANT AND OPERATIONS

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of Office of Plant and Operations</u>
1.	APMR 104-18.5003 requires Department approval for administrative sites acquired by FS having a total fair market value of \$5,000. This value should be raised to \$10,000.	FS	The cost limit on land is too low in view of the current market values and will be raised to \$10,000. The regulations are being revised to effect this change.
2.	GSA has not made staff available to update Occupancy Guides of 1962 for what is now C&MS, causing extra paperwork, needless cost, and delay and hampering of programs because of lack of acceptable guide.	C&MS	Occupancy Guides are still in use throughout Government. GSA, who has responsibility to update guides and makeup for new agencies, have stated they have never turned down a request. OP&O has no record of such a request from a USDA agency or to GSA, and agencies wishing to do so should make a formal request to OP&O and should indicate at that time the staff available to work with GSA and OP&O.
3.	Limitation of one year leasing authority should be removed in interest of economy in space rental costs as it is becoming increasingly difficult to acquire space for short tenure at competitive prices and within Economy Act rental limitations.	C&MS	The Administrator of GSA is the only one who may delegate term leasing authority to other Government agencies. He will delegate leasing authority for special purpose space. We now have blanket authority established for a number of categories in this area. We will contact the Administrator of GSA and request 3-5 year term leasing authority in small communities in non-urban areas.
3b.	Congressional limitation requires establishing 15% of fair market value of premises to be leased when annual rental will exceed \$2,000. This was set in 1932.	C&MS SCS	This was established by law and discussions with GSA indicate it has no plans at this time to request an increase. We agree an amendment to present law is desirable and will contact the Administrator of GSA regarding the inclusion of this in his legislative program.

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Plant and Operations
4.	Leasing of space in GSA urban areas should be modified to permit agencies to acquire own space after GSA has determined that Federally controlled space is not available in order to eliminate delays in or hampering of programs.	C&MS SCS	No further action at this time because of lack of documentation of any problems. GSA maintains it has a mandate to do the leasing and the staff to do it.
5.	Approval should be delegated to Administrator of GSA for transfer of excess Federal lands suitable for National Forest purposes having a total appraised market value of \$100,000 or more, instead of having GSA secure concurrence of OMB as now required.	FS	GSA feels OMB will not permit transfer of real property having an appraised fair market value of \$100,000 or more without OMB approval. OMB approach is that they are exercising authority to review agencies' programs. All use of real property is under study now as a result of E.O. 11508.
6.	Restrictions on procurement of supplies, materials, furniture, and equipment.	C&MS	The agency has withdrawn these constraints. No action was taken.
7.			
8.	GSA limitations on styles and types of Class A executive office furniture. Agencies want furniture in keeping with today's standards and trends.	C&MS	OP&O does not consider the reported constraint to be valid. Although FSS FSC Group 71, Part VI is schedule for traditional executive office furniture which is heavy and bulky, Parts VIII and XII of same schedule and furniture available from Federal Prison Industries, Inc., are sources for executive office furniture of modern design.
9.	Restriction of authority to sell Government-owned personal property.	SCS	(More information required from SCS.)



No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Plant and Operations
10.	C&MS is allowed only two digits on FEDSTRIP requisitions to identify the fund to be charged. C&MS has three digit accounting code and are thinking of using five digits under a cost center accounting system.	C&MS	Agencies are not limited to two digits on FEDSTRIP requisitions to identify the fund to be charged. The originator of a FEDSTRIP requisition is required to enter a fund code in card columns 52 and 53 of each requisition. The originator has the option of using a project code in card columns 57 through 59. The combination of card columns 52, 53, and 57 through 59 allows C&MS to show the five digit accounting codes on their FEDSTRIP requisitions, and C&MS is checking into the feasibility of using this combination in its accounting system. No further action is necessary.
11.			
12.			
13.	Exemption of participation in GSA Motor Pools would result in considerable savings.	SCS	The Department favors withdrawal of SCS from participating in Interagency Motor Pools in all cases where vehicles are permanently assigned or there is no demonstrated economy to the Government. USDA has appealed GSA Administrator's determination on behalf of SCS. Letter of 12-12-69 to Commissioner of Transportation and Communications Service, GSA, requested exemption of SCS from IMP participation and for transfer of assigned vehicles to SCS. Latest action - by letter dated 4-20-70, the Commissioner of TCS-GSA advised that further action on this constraint would be withheld pending completion of a GAO study.
14.	Restrictions on year-end procurement.	ARS	This has to do with an arbitrary cutoff date set in our regulations for the opening of bids (1) for construction May 15; (2) for supplies June 15. Exceptions are required to be justified in the file. The AGPR has been amended to remove these dates.
15.	Dollar Limitation - Contracting Authority. AGPR 4-1.4 requires agencies to obtain concurrence of Director, OP&O for delegation of contract authority within their agency.	C&MS	AGPR 4-1.4 has been modified so agencies that have adequate policies and controls for selecting, designating and terminating designations of contracting officers will have authority to delegate procurement contract authority within their agency.

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Plant and Operations
16.	Restriction of field offices to \$50 or less for services not available from GSA.	OIG, SRS	OMS will provide for further delegation of authority.

Constraints Reporting During 1970

17.	Agency must obtain Departmental clearance for the design and construction of offices, laboratories, etc., in excess of \$50,000. Due to inflation, this limitation should be increased to \$100,000.	FS	This constraint is presently under consideration.
18.	Mailing lists are not updated promptly, and there is no feedback from the system to let agencies know what changes have been made.	ASCS	The mailing list system involves 1,200 lists, 450,000 names, and services the entire Department. A total feedback system would be very expensive to develop and could become a paper-work jungle. We have discussed this problem with ASCS and are working to devise a partial feedback system which could be used by all agencies for critical lists. We are also working on an operational procedure which will give us the necessary control to insure that changes in mailing lists are handled promptly.
19.	Agency is required to obtain a GSA waiver for purchase of similar GSA-carried items from another source in an emergency.	ASCS	A waiver from GSA is not required for procurement from a non-GSA source when property or services are needed at once because of cases such as fire, flood, explosion, earthquake, nuclear attack or other disaster. Small purchases, other than those made as a result of the cases mentioned above, may be made at the site of work or point of need in satisfaction of day-to-day requirements that cannot be foreseen. Such purchases shall be made in accordance with FPR S1-3.6, and the quantities procured shall not exceed immediate needs (FPMR S101-26 301(d)).

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Plant and Operations
20.	Definitions regarding small purchases are out of date in terms of current values. This refers to the \$50 exemption used in Federal Supply Schedule contracts and the \$2,500 ceiling contained in the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949.	ASCS	This constraint is presently under consideration.
21.	As stated in 4 AR Chapter 2, GSA is responsible for space maintenance. However, the char service is inept. Office cleaning and maintenance are not being done adequately.	ASCS	This constraint is presently under consideration.
22.	In accordance with GSA Regulation No. 101-32.403-1(c), prior approval from GSA is required to procure ADP equipment. Since there is apparently no inspection or other significant effort by GSA to determine if a request is valid, approval by the OMS/USDA should be sufficient.	FAS	This constraint is presently under consideration.
23.	Because maintenance needs of USDA buildings are inadequately represented by GSA in its appropriation requests, costs of needed renovations are passed on to other agencies. There is a chronic shortage of laborers and a questionable sense of job priorities.	FAS	This constraint is presently under consideration.





#### IV. OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of the General Counsel</u>
1.	Prohibition of the transfer of equipment from one private school to another in the Nonfood Assistance Program.	FNS	The language of the statutory provision of the Child Nutrition Act lends itself to an interpretation that the equipment may be used by nonprofit private schools as a class and that only after it can no longer be used in the program by such schools generally shall it revert to the United States.
2.	Subpena of employee or records required Washington OGC approval. Field offices of OGC could approve quicker.	FS	The constraint will be continued because of Department of Justice requirements.
3.	Reporting of fiscal liability cases requires Washington OGC determination. OGC field offices could expedite.	FS	The constraint will be continued because all cases involving civil and criminal irregularities by Department employees are handled by OGC in Washington.
4.	Requiring Office of the General Counsel to clear rules pursuant to market agreements and/or orders.	C&MS	Constraint removed. Thus 200 marketing agreements will not have to be sent through OGC for clearance.
5.	Requiring Office of the General Counsel to clear budget and rate of assessment dockets for marketing agreement and order programs.	C&MS	Constraint removed. Thus 75 additional dockets per year will not have to be sent through OGC for clearance.
6.	Required to report all identical bids to the Department of Justice. In our opinion this reporting no longer serves a useful purpose.	C&MS	After OGC forwarded to the Department of Justice on February 3, 1970, a request from C&MS that an exemption be authorized from the reporting requirements of E.O. 10936, a conference, as suggested by the Department of Justice, was held between C&MS and Department of Justice officials to attempt to find a solution to this problem.





# V. OFFICE OF BUDGET AND FINANCE

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of Office of Budget and Finance</u>
1.	Request to Treasury for bond of indemnity is restricted to agency head.	FHA	Treasury Department advises that delegation may be authorized as long as we do not do so to field officials. Title 7 of the Administrative Regulations has been revised to delegate this authority to Chief Fiscal Officers.
2.	Approval of foreign travel.	C&MS, ARS, FS	New procedures simplifying foreign travel approval were put into effect June 26, 1970.
3.	Restrictions on authority to redelegate certain travel authorizations (approval of superior accommodations, per diem for illness in excess of 14 days, and travel of non-Government persons).	FS	<p>The Administrative Regulations have been revised to allow the re delegation of authority to approve superior accommodations and per diem for illness in excess of 14 days.</p> <p>However, travel by non-Government persons is such a sensitive matter that agency heads or acting agency heads should be personally aware of such travel. This provision should be retained.</p>
4.	Restriction on actual subsistence reimbursement to non-Government persons.	FS	<p>The Department regulations on per diem, which were approved by the Program and Budget Review Committee in November 1969, provided that non-Government persons traveling on Government business shall receive \$25 a day. These regulations also provide that authority to pay actual expenses should be approved by the Director of Finance.</p> <p>The majority of non-Government persons traveling are consultants and members of advisory committees. There is a need for consistence to the maximum extent possible across agency lines in the USDA. For this reason this requirement should be retained.</p>

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Budget and Finance
5.	Approval of work for other agencies where full cost will not be recovered.	FS	This constraint applies to agreements between an agency of this Department and another Government agency which would involve Department appropriations bearing a part of the cost of the work performed for the other Government agency. This requirement is not unreasonable and involves such sensitive relationships with appropriations committees that it should be retained.
6.	Clearance of details to the Office of the Secretary.	FS	This requirement was instituted by the Office of the Secretary in order to document for the record all details of personnel to the Office of the Secretary, the White House or elsewhere. Such information was previously conveyed by telephone. As a result accurate reports were not available.  This requirement should be continued.
7.	Excessive reporting requirements on committees.	FS	The major problem here is that the Forest Service had chosen to submit their report as of June 30 each year by the use of Form AD-241. The Forest Service has revised its procedures to report a listing of advisory committees, rather than a Form AD-241, for the June 30 report.  The Office of Management and Budget has asked us to consider revisions in OMB Circular A-63 dealing with committee reports. As a part of this revision we will work out arrangements whereby all committee reports will be submitted at one time.
8.	Approval of agreements with other agencies involving new types of service or large amounts.	FS	This requirement in 6 AR 326 is the only means by which the Secretary's Office can be informed in advance of proposed agreements between agencies which would involve the receipt of significant amounts of funds from other sources. This is an essential aspect of the financial management responsibilities. This Office must have knowledge of such items so that the Secretary's Office and the appropriations committees can be informed.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of Office of Budget and Finance</u>
9.	Personnel ceilings	FS	This is a requirement of the President for all Federal agencies in order to hold down Federal employment. The Department does not have discretion to change this requirement.
10.	Requiring Departmental approval for travel to Canada or Mexico to attend "Common Interest Meeting."	C&MS	This requirement was eliminated effective June 26, 1970.

Constraints Reported During 1970

11.	By limiting the application of statistical sampling to travel vouchers of less than \$100, this method is not useful to ASCS since the number of travel vouchers under \$100 is small.	ASCS	The constraint is imposed by law (31 U.S.C. 82 b-1). The law applies not only to travel vouchers, but to all disbursement vouchers of less than \$100. Consequently, the agency may be able to use statistical sampling if it applies this procedure to all vouchers of less than \$100 instead of only travel vouchers.
12.	Employment ceilings, established as of June 30 of each fiscal year, cause some agencies to lose prospective recruits among students graduating in May or June.	OIG	The June 30 ceiling on employment is imposed by the Office of Management and Budget. It is the principal means by which Federal employment is controlled. We recognize that June is the optimum month for recruiting both high school and college graduates throughout the Department. It is also the end of the fiscal year and, therefore, is a logical time for applying an employment ceiling since increases in funds for the new budget year are not available until July 1 at the earliest. Agencies may overcome the constraint, at least to an extent, by giving graduating students a reporting date of July 1, a date which is usually only a few weeks beyond graduation and one which will provide the student with the necessary time to relocate from the school to his job assignment.



No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Budget and Finance
13.	<p>The AR-s require that attendance at National meetings be approved at the Washington office level. During fiscal year 1970, the Chief's office processed requests for 1,692 people to attend meetings at an estimated cost of \$8,000. The number of requests disapproved in the Chief's Office was very small. The Chief should be allowed to redelegate this authority to Regional Foresters, Station Directors and Area Directors under certain conditions.</p>	FS	<p>The stated reasons for asking that this constraint be removed are that the cost of processing each request amounts to more than \$4.70 per request and that the number of disapprovals is very small. It is likely that the alternate system proposed which would delegate authority to lower levels in the organization for attendance at certain meetings while retaining this authority in the Washington office for others, monitoring attendance, and developing and executing an inspection system to assure that the guidelines are being followed, would be at least as expensive as the system now in effect. Further, one of the purposes of controlling attendance at a central point is to avoid excessive representation at any one meeting. We do not see how such a purpose can be achieved where approval authority has been delegated to several different persons within the organization. Also, the very low disapproval rate experienced by the Forest Service may be attributable to the fact that review by the Washington office is required. For these reasons we do not believe this constraint should be removed at this time.</p>
14.	<p>AR 45a limits establishment authority of Public Advisory Committees to agency heads. The Chief of the Forest Service should be allowed to redelegate to Regional Foresters and Directors the authority to establish Committees, as they are fully capable of determining the need for such Committees. In addition, changes should be made in the use of Form AD-241, Committee Control Record. It is in need of revision, and need not be submitted to the Department as is now required.</p>	FS	<p>A draft of a revised Office of Management and Budget Circular A-63 has been circulated to this Department for comments. Staff officials of OMB advise us that they expect to issue this revised Circular within 4 to 6 weeks. At that time committee management procedures will be reviewed and recommended changes taken into consideration. In the interim, a reply will be sent to all agencies which request the extension of an existing committee, as recommended.</p>

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Budget and Finance
14 (c'd)	The handling of requests for extension of Interagency Committees should also be reviewed and improved.		
15.	Each Federal agency is now responsible for auditing its own grant-in-aid funds expended by a State. This audit responsibility to one department of a State should be assigned to one Federal agency. This would eliminate the need of having many Federal auditors visiting the same State department to look at individual grant-in-aid programs.	FS	The possibility of assigning responsibility to a single Federal agency for audit of all Federal programs at a particular State agency was considered at length by the Office of Management and Budget and the interagency committee on Circular A-87. It was determined that such an approach is not presently practical because of a lack of trained audit personnel. The Federal programs to be audited are so varied in nature that it would be almost impossible for one person to perform a good program audit.
16.	Agency must pledge collateral to secure public money in excess of \$20,000. Removal of the collateral pledge would eliminate several FHA forms and letters, reduce processing time, and prevent occasional delays in loan closing.	FHA	This requirement is based on 12 U.S.C. 265. This section of the Code authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to require banks to pledge collateral for deposits of public monies in excess of \$20,000. The Treasury Department informs us that the present requirement cannot be removed. However, the Treasury Department expresses willingness to discuss procedural changes with FHA.

No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of Office of Budget and Finance
17.	A 1970 limitation of \$27.2 million was imposed on "Conservation Materials and Services," whereas the Budget provided for \$50 million.	ASCS	<p>It is not possible to merge the outlay limitation for "advance materials and services" with the outlay limitation for the Agricultural Conservation Program because the former is financed by an advance from Commodity Credit Corporation funds. However, the limitation for "Advance Materials and Services" is merged with other non-price support expenditures financed with CCC funds. ASCS, in 1970, administratively broke out the funds for "advance materials and services" to meet their own internal requirements. It was not imposed by this office.</p>
18.	The authority of an Agency Head to authorize foreign travel, discussed in 7 AR 539, infers that the Agency Head may not delegate authority to authorize or approve foreign travel other than to meetings.	FS	<p>Title 7, paragraph 539c of the Administrative Regulations specifies the circumstances under which agency heads may delegate the authority to approve foreign travel. Delegation of authority is permitted in all instances in which approval by an Assistant Secretary or Director is not required.</p> <p>Travel requiring approval by an Assistant Secretary or Director should be approved first by the agency head or his acting to assure that the foreign travel to be approved in the Secretary's Office is essential and to assure appropriate representation in the case of foreign meetings. It is not necessary that travel authorization forms be returned to the agency head after approval in the Secretary's Office. Since they will already have been approved by the agency head, the forms can be returned to appropriate administrative levels for distribution to the traveler and others concerned.</p> <p>The requirement that certain travel be approved in the Office of the Secretary is indicative of the importance placed upon limiting foreign travel wherever possible. Accordingly, the requirement that such travel be approved by agency heads should be continued.</p>



<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of Office of Budget and Finance</u>
19.	When a salary check is lost, stolen, or destroyed, MDSC requires a statement by the employee to be sent to New Orleans to initiate the cumbersome process. Upon receipt, the Treasury disbursing office is sent notice to issue a stop order on the original check and to prepare a substitute check. If everything goes well, a delay of only a few days occurs. However, if the lost, stolen, or destroyed check is not reported within ten days, the delay will amount to six to eight weeks.	OIG	This constraint is presently under consideration.



VI. NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of National Agricultural Library</u>
1.	Reports of scientific and technical information of the Federal Council for Science and Technical Information. Agency submits reports but it never finds anything included in the one-page summary for the whole Department.	C&MS	Chairman of COSATI has advised that they will be happy to have lengthy reports for their records. However, it will be necessary to summarize information for publication purposes since their annual report presents accomplishments for all Federal agencies.





VII. OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of Office of Inspector General</u>
1.	Agencies are required to refer all employee misconduct cases to OIG except certain "minor infractions, . . ." Agencies could resolve many of these cases, thus expediting handling and avoid embarrassing the Department.	C&MS	This constraint will be continued as we believe complete objectivity can best be obtained in these cases through investigations by a disinterested and impartial agency.
2.	Cases of theft must be referred to OIG who, in turn, refers them to FBI. Agencies could refer cases directly to FBI, saving time.	FS	Inspector General Memorandum No. 12, Revised, dated July 24, 1969, provides for direct referrals to the FBI with concurrent advice to OIG.





VIII. OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT IMPROVEMENT

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of Office of Management Improvement</u>
1.	Quarterly Report on Communications and Services to the Public. Does not seem to serve a worthwhile purpose.	C&MS	This reporting requirement was eliminated in a Recision Notice for Secretary's Memorandum No. 1588, Supplement 2, dated August 28, 1970, and signed by J. Phil Campbell as Acting Secretary.
2.	Clearance and reports - ADP services. Should be revised to eliminate overlapping and repetitive reporting.	C&MS, FS	OMB and GSA have completed a review of these clearance and reports requirements. The overlaps are not completely resolved. Requirements in the ADP clearance and reporting area are still in a developmental stage and new ones are still being added; we do not, therefore, see an early consolidation of all external requirements occurring. OMI is continuously reviewing procedures to make these requirements better understood in the Department, but these constraints will continue in any event.
3.	Mandatory use of Federal Information Code Standards. This requires redesign of present systems around a code instead of the use of codes to fit the system.	SRS	The Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) were established to eliminate the overlapping, inconsistent and duplicative standards in use by Federal agencies. No FIPS are adopted without coordination within and outside of the Government. Benefits to the Government will out weigh any discomfort to any single agency. Furthermore, waiver procedures regarding these requirements are available and have, in fact, been successfully utilized by the complaining agency. No action will be taken to remove the FIPS now in existence.



IX. THE SECRETARYConstraints Reported During 1970

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of the Secretary</u>
1.	The ASCS Commodity Offices have been hampered in their inventory management operations, since the implementation of Secretary's Memorandum No. 1567, which transferred warehouse examination of CCC-owned inventories from ASCS to C&MS. Commodity Offices must now go through C&MS for warehouse inspection and examination of inventories for which ASCS has program and contractual responsibility.	ASCS	This constraint is presently under consideration.
2.	Section 6026 of the Food and Agriculture Act of 1965 requires the Secretary to designate areas where CAP land may be used for grazing and haying under emergency conditions. However, the Secretary may delegate this authority. Considerable time could be saved in designating such areas if this authority were delegated to the agency Administrator. Under the current procedure, field offices are reporting 30 to 60 days' delay in obtaining Washington approval.	ASCS	This requirement will be retained.



No.	Subject	Agency	Decision of the Secretary
3.	Public Law 85-58, of June 21, 1957, specifically states that the Secretary of Agriculture will make payments to farmers who carry out Emergency Conservation Measures in designated areas. However, the Secretary may delegate this authority. Considerable time could be saved in designating disaster areas if this authority were delegated to the agency Administrator. Under the current procedure, field offices are reporting 30 to 60 days' delay in obtaining Washington approval.	ASCS	This requirement will be retained.
4.	A memorandum signed by the Executive Assistant to the Secretary, dated August 12, 1966, requires that a press release be issued prior to notification of a successful contract bidder.	ASCS	Action is being taken to remove this constraint.
5.	Agency must submit monthly reports on civil rights activities. A quarterly report would greatly reduce the paperwork involved.	SCS	The White House has directed the Department to submit a monthly Civil Rights report. Therefore, monthly reports from agencies are necessary.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of the Secretary</u>
6.	<p>The Weekly Travel Schedule (RCS 1000-01) is a report requested by the Office of the Secretary projecting travel, meetings, and speeches of the Chief, Associate Chief, and others, and is due at 5:00 p.m. each Wednesday. A similar weekly report (RCS 26-1600) concerning major activities of the Forest Service is submitted to the Secretary each Tuesday at noon. This is a duplication of effort.</p>	FS	<p>With respect to duplication as a result of the Weekly Agency Activity Reports to the Secretary, these two functions are completely different. One deals primarily with activities, operations, and general information which should be brought to the attention of the Secretary, while the other deals solely with travel schedules and speaking engagements. The latter is used primarily by the Office of Information and public relations people. Because the two reports are processed in a completely different manner, it would be very inconvenient to combine them.</p>





X. OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT SERVICESConstraint Reported During 1970

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of the Office of Management Services</u>
1.	OMS has partial authority to examine and appoint clerical personnel to career conditional positions. Since OMS does not have full appointment authority within the GS-1 through GS-5 grade levels, its capabilities in serving its designated agencies is limited.	OIG	The CSC grants "643 recruiting authority" to agencies only when it is unable to carry out the examining and certification function rapidly enough to meet urgent needs. At present, CSC is able to carry out this function and has not granted the authority to OMS. However, this does not severely limit OMS capabilities in serving its agencies. Agencies' appointment authority, of about four months, and various available methods of appointment should give them adequate capability to obtain qualified clerical help.



# XI. ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE

## Constraint Reported During 1970

<u>No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Decision of the Economic Research Service</u>
1.	Only one printout of agricultural census data on imports and exports is supplied to the Department by the Census Bureau. ASCS wastes time and effort copying information from reports furnished ERS.	ASCS	Under the existing contract with the Bureau of the Census, five copies of the referenced report, "U.S. Agricultural Specialist Runs," were received in the Economic Research Service for data verification and distribution. In order to correct the subject constraint, the Bureau of the Census agreed to send us six copies of this report. In addition to ERS, four Department agencies, including ASCS, will now receive copies of this report.





## XII. REPORTED CONSTRAINTS RETURNED TO AGENCY

One agency reported a total of 8 constraints during 1970 which were not referred to a staff office for response but were returned to the agency. The reported constraints were not appropriate for inclusion in this report, as follows:

- 4 reported constraints concerned with internal operating procedures;
- 1 reported constraint required no further action because of prior statutory changes;
- 3 reported constraints concerned legislation and could not be dealt with in the FAR program.



XIII.

USDA Agencies Action on Internal Constraints

Agency	Constraints	Accomplishments
ASCS	See Accomplishments	<p>The agency has reviewed a total of 110 internal constraints reported by State and Washington offices. These constraints concerned a broad range of agency administrative and program activities. 1969-70 accomplishments are summarized as follows:</p> <p>1969: 25 constraints reported; 2 removed.</p> <p>1970: 85 constraints reported; 21 removed; 52 retained; 4 under review; and 8 were not considered as constraints.</p>
CEA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Disclosing CEA Information to Exchange Officials. Only the Administrator had the authority. It caused difficulties because Exchange officials could take action promptly against a problem trader or firm.</li> <li>2. Authority to issue subpoenas was restricted to Administrator.</li> </ol>	<p>By his memorandum of January 12, 1970, the Administrator re-delegated his authority to the Regional Directors.</p> <p>In a memorandum dated January 13, 1970, the Administrator asked the General Counsel of the Department to revise the delegation of authority for the issuance of subpoenas to permit redelegation.</p> <p>Additional action, 1970:</p> <p>Public Law 91-452, enacted October 15, 1970, amends section 6(b) of the CEAct, effective December 14, 1970, by striking the references to 49 U.S.C. 46, 47 and 48 (the immunity provisions of the Interstate Commerce Act). The immunity provisions which will govern on and after December 14, 1970, will be those contained in 18 U.S.C. 6001-6004. Under these provisions a witness will not obtain immunity on the basis of privilege unless he is compelled to testify after he refuses</p>

Agency	Constraints	Accomplishments
CEA cont'd		to testify on the basis of his privilege against self-incrimination. However, CEA will not be able to compel a witness to testify after he has claimed privilege, unless approval of the Attorney General is obtained. These new provisions raise serious problems which, hopefully, the Department of Justice will give us some guidance on in the very near future. Meanwhile, the Agency will avoid use of investigatory subpoenas until we receive clarification of the new law.
C&MS	<p><u>Personnel Action</u></p> <p>Unable to enforce misconduct and unsatisfactory work performance regulations. Some procedures in C&amp;MS Instructions not enforceable.</p> <p><u>Training</u></p> <p>Requiring Personnel Division Director's prior approval (up to 80 hours) for outside (non-government) training.</p> <p>Requiring Personnel Division Director's prior approval (80-800 hours) for outside (non-government) training.</p> <p><u>Instructions and Guidance Documents</u></p> <p>Terminology used in MICAP (Meat Inspection Career Appraisal Program) is cumbersome and confusing.</p> <p>Too much paperwork required of Consumer Protection personnel.</p>	<p>Taking action to revise instructions. The revised instruction in agency clearance.</p> <p>Proposed instruction will redelegate to Division and Staff Directors the authority to approve up to 80 hours of in-service or out-service training.</p> <p>Personnel Division is considering alternative organizational arrangements and delegations of responsibility below the Division Director level.</p> <p>Constraint removed. Revised MICAP is not cumbersome and confusing.</p> <p>Thorough review of reporting requirements being carried out with objective of simplifying reports process.</p>



Agency	Constraints	Accomplishments
C&MS cont'd	<p><u>Work Measurement</u></p> <p>Cumbersome and confusing terminology used in work measurement procedures in Consumer Protection Programs.</p> <p><u>Non-Use of Available Data</u></p> <p>Information requested of field offices by Consumer Protection Regional Offices when information already available in Regional Office.</p> <p><u>Procurement</u></p> <p>Clearance must be obtained from Field Operations Branch, Administrative Services Division, for services costing \$50 or more.</p>	<p>Proposal is being prepared to establish in simplified terms work standards units. Will be available to field personnel in simplified form.</p> <p>Organizational structure change will reduce, if not eliminate, such queries. In interim, focal point established for queries to Regional Offices to help diminish such queries.</p> <p>Removed - Pertinent Instruction permits the Field Operations Branch to delegate special authorities to field offices. Complainant notified of delegation possibility.</p> <p>During 1970, additional accomplishments have been made, as follows:</p> <p>Of 31 constraints reported, 11 were removed; 1 was modified; 3 were retained temporarily pending other actions; 15 were retained for good management; and 1 was withdrawn.</p>
FHA	See accomplishments	<p>The National Office staff of Farmers Home Administration has been restructured to enable the agency to adapt more effectively to changes in program emphasis now taking place and scheduled for the future. All agricultural-type loans have been placed under the supervision of an Assistant Administrator, Farmer Programs. The rural housing program has been elevated to major organizational rank under an Assistant Administrator, Rural Housing. Community facilities are to be supervised by a new Assistant</p>

USDA Agencies Action on Internal Constraints cont'd.

40

Agency	Constraints	Accomplishments
FHA cont'd		<p>Administrator, and the former Assistant Administrator, Rural Development position, is replaced by an Assistant Administrator, Special Projects, to handle rural development, OEO activities, and other selected functions.</p> <p>A corresponding realignment of State Office staffs is now in progress.</p> <p>Delegation of Personnel Management and Staffing Responsibilities to State Directors.</p> <p>In order to decentralize our control of staffing State and county offices, we have delegated to State Directors full authority to assign, transfer and promote to all positions within each State office jurisdiction, in accordance with established qualification standards, staffing criteria, and authorized manpower ceilings. This action removes these controls from the National Office to the State Office.</p> <p>Establishment of Loan Specialist Position in County Office.</p> <p>Recognizing the change in many areas from agriculture-type loans to nonfarm loans and grants, Farmers Home Administration will establish a standard position of loan specialist supervisor to handle nonfarm-type business in localities where rural employees can be fully occupied. This position will generally parallel our long established farm management specialist supervisor position. A survey is now in progress to determine how many such positions may be needed. We believe it will provide a much larger group of applicants from which to select for employment and at the same time increase total skills available to the agency.</p> <p>Implementation of Financial Management Program.</p> <p>Farmers Home Administration is commencing the implementation of a Financial Management Program which will ultimately enable the agency to capture more precise cost figures in accordance with</p>

Agency	Constraints	Accomplishments
FHA cont'd	See accomplishments	<p>standardized accounting procedures. The system will also result in delegating more fiscal and budgeting responsibilities to our State Directors. The agency is now recruiting a qualified accountant to head up this activity.</p> <p>Marketing Insured Notes.</p> <p>Organization of a large syndicate of leading investment banking firms to market an initial issue of \$350,000,000 insured FHA notes not only inaugurated an entirely new marketing technique for this kind of investment, but greatly simplified the problem of continuous funding of current programs by providing easier access to major investors.</p> <p>Delegation of Association Loan and Grant Approval Authority to State Directors.</p> <p>Procedural revisions are now being processed which will make further delegations to State Directors of approval authority relating to both loans and grants for such purposes as water and waste disposal and comprehensive area planning.</p> <p>Delegation of Rural Rental Housing Loan Approval to Selected State Directors.</p> <p>Delegations to selected State Directors, based on accumulated experience, are being made to approve rural rental housing loans. As more field experience is accumulated, it is expected that such authority will ultimately be delegated to all State Directors.</p> <p>Authorizing County Supervisors to Approve Loans on Properties they Appraise.</p> <p>An improved service to rural housing applicants has resulted from recent authority granted to qualified County Supervisor Appraisers to also approve loans on non-farm properties they have appraised.</p>



Agency	Constraints	Accomplishments
FHA cont'd	See accomplishments	<p>Upgrading of County Office Clerical Positions</p> <p>Because of the increased complexity of county office clerical duties, Farmers Home Administration has provided for upgrading many of these positions as they meet the qualifications on an individual basis. This action will reduce the turnover in these positions and facilitate the recruitment of new employees.</p> <p>Establishment of Construction Inspector Positions</p> <p>Because of the frequency of inspections required and the complexity of many construction projects financed by Farmers Home Administration, better service has been provided borrowers by establishing Construction Inspector positions. Approximately 250 are presently employed. These positions are filled by temporary appointments.</p>
FNS	<p>See accomplishments</p> <p>See accomplishments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Food Stamp Programs-- Decentralization of Food Stamp Recipient Claims Determinations</li> <p>Legal approval has been received, and a procedure has been developed whereby State Agencies and FNS Regional Offices can settle certain Food Stamp Claims. Previously, all claims were handled by FNS, Washington, and considerably more paperwork was involved.</p> <li>2. Commodity Distribution Programs-- Decentralization of Commodity Distribution Claims Determinations:</li> <p>Proposed regulatory change has been submitted to the Office of the General Counsel to obtain approval to allow State Agencies and FNS Regional Offices to settle certain Commodity Distribution (CD) Claims. Currently, all CD claims are handled by FNS Washington. This is awaiting final clearances.</p> </ol>



Agency	Constraints	Accomplishments
FNS cont'd	See accomplishments	<p>3. Increased delegations of authority have been made to FNS Division, Staff, and Regional Directors regarding employee awards.</p> <p>Line management is now more directly involved in the Employee Awards Program as result of the increased delegation of authority. Authority to approve employee suggestions, with cash awards up to \$300, was given to Division, Staff, or Regional Directors. In addition, these officials are now authorized to approve quality increases for their employees in grades GS-1 through GS-13. It is anticipated that the expanded delegations will result in an increase in employee suggestions.</p>
FS	See accomplishments	<p>To meet the objective of placing decision-making authority at the lowest feasible level, the Forest Service conducted a service-wide review of existing delegations of authority and internal constraints. All delegations of authority were checked against the following criteria to determine if improvements in practices could be made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- competence of employees</li> <li>-- coordination requirements</li> <li>-- size of programs</li> <li>-- staffing and skills available</li> <li>-- tools to do the job</li> </ul> <p>In order to accomplish the service-wide review, the Forest Service policy on delegating, along with related procedures and practices was first thoroughly examined and clarified. Directives involved in these areas are being revised.</p> <p>A comprehensive review of delegations of authority was then made by the Washington office to determine if the delegations were proper or if further delegation to lower levels was feasible.</p>

Agency	Constraints	Accomplishments
FS cont'd	See accomplishments	<p>A few delegations were identified through this preliminary review and were modified to permit delegations to field offices. A complete summary of Forest Service delegations was then prepared. This summary included over 800 elements.</p> <p>This summary was sent to Regional Offices, Experiment Stations, and Area Offices for critical review. A copy of the summary with accompanying letter of transmittal is attached for your information. Each unit was requested to review delegations withheld by the Chief or higher level for adequacy and make recommendations on those felt unnecessarily restrictive. The units were also instructed to review delegations and constraining procedures and practices within their own units and make whatever changes needed to place authority for decision-making at the lowest feasible level.</p> <p>All Regional offices, Stations, and Area offices have or are identifying their own unnecessary constraints or restrictive delegations and will be modifying their directives to reflect the change of authority where necessary.</p> <p>Recommendations to the WO from the units concerning unduly restrictive delegations or unnecessary constraints were catalogued by divisional responsibility and discussed with the Washington office divisions concerned. The field units commented on about 15 percent of the 800 elements listed in the summary. Many of the comments, however, were due to misinterpretation of instructions in the directives system. All directive materials causing confusion in the field were then reviewed and those instructions actually needing clarification are now or will be rewritten shortly.</p> <p>Through discussion with the appropriate Washington office division, we were able to identify several specific delegations or constraints recommended by field units as needing improvement and for which changes should be made. Directive material covering these items is now or will be processed soon.</p>

Agency	Constraints	Accomplishments
FS cont'd	See accomplishments	<p>There were other delegations or constraints identified by units as needing improvement but these will need further analysis in relation to principles of delegation before definite decisions can be made as to their applicability. We expect that after further study some of these will be modified. The elements under consideration will be monitored to assure adequate follow-up action.</p> <p>Continued efforts to identify and remove administrative constraints were made during 1970. Field units reported 134 constraints to the Washington office. Divisions are now in the process of initiating directive and procedural changes to resolve constraints, and it is expected that at least 25 will be removed. In addition, 9 constraints are being studied further, and 18 external constraints are being forwarded to the Department for consideration.</p>









